

<b>Policy and Resources Committee</b>	
<b>Meeting Date</b>	8 June 2022
<b>Report Title</b>	<b>Member appointments to joint arrangements</b>
<b>EMT Lead</b>	David Clifford
<b>Head of Service</b>	Head of Policy, Governance and Customer Services
<b>Lead Officer</b>	Jo Millard – Senior Democratic Services Officer
<b>Classification</b>	<b>Open</b>
<b>Recommendations</b>	<p>The committee is recommended to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Determine</b> which members should be appointed to the MKIP board and the STGBC joint committee.</li> <li>2. <b>Appoint</b> those members to the MKIP board and STGBC joint committee.</li> </ol>

## **1 Purpose of Report and Executive Summary**

- 1.1 This report asks the policy and resources committee to agree the council's member representation for the municipal year 2022/23 on the Mid-Kent Improvement Partnership Board and the South Thames Gateway Building Control Joint Committee.

## **2 Background**

- 2.1 A joint arrangement is one in which the council collaborates with other local authorities or agencies to provide services in partnership. Member appointments to the boards or committees exercising political control over such arrangements are distinct from nominations to outside bodies, in which members are asked to take on the role of directors or trustees of separate organisations, generally with a fiduciary duty to those organisations and not as representatives of the council.
- 2.2 In respect of joint arrangements, members are appointed to boards or committees with the express intention that they will represent Swale's interests on those boards or committees. Under the executive model, member appointments to boards, committees or steering groups covering joint arrangements were made by cabinet or by the executive leader.
- 2.3 This was logical inasmuch as joint arrangements generally cover services which were previously executive matters. Moving to the committee system, it is appropriate that these appointments are made by the service committee within whose remit the service in question falls. This is supported by Part 2.6 of the new constitution, which specifies two joint arrangements and the service committees charged with making appointments to them. (In a future iteration of the

constitution, members may consider amending this so that it covers the general principle rather than listing specific arrangements.)

### **3 Proposals**

#### ***Mid-Kent Improvement Partnership Board***

- 3.1 The Mid-Kent Improvement Partnership (MKIP) is a partnership between Swale, Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells councils, begun in 2008, aimed at sharing and aligning services in order to save money, share talent and increase the resilience of small teams. Shared services within MKIP are largely corporate or back-office functions (including HR, ICT, legal, audit and planning support).
- 3.2 The MKIP partnership is governed through the MKIP board comprising two senior members from each council plus each council's chief executive. One of each council's senior members is expected to be that council's leader. Appendix I provides the list of political groups' nominations for the other member appointment, and the committee is now **recommended** to determine who should be appointed for the municipal year 2022/23.

#### ***South Thames Gateway Building Control Joint Committee***

- 3.3 South Thames Gateway Building Control (STGBC), originally involving Swale, Gravesham and Medway councils, commenced operations in 2007, with Canterbury joining as a fourth member council in 2018.
- 3.4 STGBC is governed through a joint committee comprising one member from each council, which oversees operations and approves the annual three-year rolling business plan. Appendix II provides the list of political groups' nominations for this appointment, and the committee is now **recommended** to determine who should be appointed for the municipal year 2022/23.

### **4 Alternative Options**

- 4.1 The governance mechanisms for the joint arrangements require members to be appointed to these roles, so there are no alternative options.

### **5 Consultation Undertaken or Proposed**

- 5.1 These are routine appointments to existing arrangements, so no consultation has been undertaken or is proposed beyond asking group leaders for their nominations.

## 6 Implications

Issue	Implications
Corporate Plan	The joint arrangements contribute to a number of corporate plan objectives, primarily but not exclusively under Priority 4, “renewing local democracy and making the council fit for the future”.
Financial, Resource and Property	One of the reasons for the existence of the joint arrangements is to provide necessary services more efficiently and hence produce savings for the councils. There are no specific financial implications in the appointment of members to the governance bodies.
Legal, Statutory and Procurement	The joint arrangements are established in contracts or other agreements between the partner councils, which include provision for members to be appointed to governance bodies.
Crime and Disorder	No implications identified at this stage.
Environment and Climate/Ecological Emergency	No implications identified at this stage.
Health and Wellbeing	No implications identified at this stage.
Safeguarding of Children, Young People and Vulnerable Adults	No implications identified at this stage.
Risk Management and Health and Safety	No implications identified at this stage.
Equality and Diversity	No implications identified at this stage.
Privacy and Data Protection	No implications identified at this stage.

## 7 Appendices

- 7.1 The following documents are to be published with this report and form part of the report:
- Appendix I: Political group nominations to MKIP board
  - Appendix II: Political group nominations to STGBC joint committee

## 8 Background Papers

- 8.1 There are no background papers.